UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,943	12/31/2003	John C. Rudelic	INTEL22	6666

6980 7590 06/28/2006

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP
600 PEACHTREE STREET , NE
ATLANTA, GA 30308

EXAMINER	
CHANG, ERIC	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2116	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,943	RUDELIC, JOHN C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Chang	2116	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *31 December 2003*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-21* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-21* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are pending.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "first state write machine" on lines 4-5 of the claim should read, "first write state machine". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,075,741 to Ma et al., in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art.

5. As to claim 1, Ma discloses a system comprising a first memory [48] and a second memory [50]; a pulse generator [40] operable to generate a first pulse of current to the first memory and a second pulse of current to the second memory [col. 2, lines 35-44]; and a delay circuit [42] operable to inject a time delay between the first and second pulse of current [col. 2, lines 45-48].

Ma teaches the limitations of the claim, including a first and second memory, but does not teach that the pulse generator is coupled to a first write state machine a second write state machine.

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art teaches an electronic system having a plurality of memories [paragraph 2]. Thus, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art teaches an electronic system with a first and second memory configuration similar to that of Ma. Applicant's Admitted Prior Art further teaches that each memory has a controller comprising a write state machine [paragraph 2].

At the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ the write state machines as taught by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so that the memories could be properly controlled.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the cited references because they are both directed to the problem of initializing power to a plurality of memories in an electronic system. Moreover, the write state machines taught by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art would improve the functionality of Ma because it allowed for performing erase and program operations [paragraph 2].

6. As to claim 2, Ma discloses the pulse generator generates a plurality of pulses of current having a predetermined waveform [col. 5, lines 39-46]. Applicant's Admitted Prior Art further teaches that the waveform is associated with the operation of the memory, and has a large initial pulse of current followed by a subsequent plurality of smaller pulses of current [paragraph 2].

7. As to claim 3, Ma discloses the delay circuit delays the second pulse of current for at least as long as the duration of the first initial pulse of current [col. 6, lines 22-31].

8. As to claim 4, Ma discloses the second pulse of current occurs during a delay between the first initial pulse of current applied to the first write state machine and the plurality of subsequent pulses of current applied to the second write state machine [col. 6, lines 9-21].

9. As to claim 5, Ma discloses the second pulse of current occurs during the delay between a first plurality of subsequent pulses applied to the first state machine and the plurality of subsequent pulses of current applied to the second write state machine [col. 6, lines 9-21].

10. As to claim 6, Ma discloses the first pulse of current has an amplitude substantially equal to the amplitude of the second pulse of current [col. 6, lines 60-67, and col. 7, lines 1-19].

11. As to claim 7, Ma discloses the pulse generator generates a plurality of pulses of current having a predetermined waveform [col. 5, lines 39-46]. Applicant's Admitted Prior Art further teaches that the waveform is associated with the operation of the memory, and has a large initial pulse of current followed by a subsequent plurality of smaller pulses of current [paragraph 2]. Furthermore, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art describes that the waveform may be of any well known to one of ordinary skill in the art [paragraph 19], such as wherein the plurality of

additional pulses of current have amplitudes that are less than or equal to half of the amplitude of the first pulse of current.

12. As to claim 8, Ma discloses a method comprising applying a first pulse of current to a first memory and a second pulse of current to a second memory [col. 2, lines 35-44]; and injecting a time delay between the first and second pulse of current [col. 2, lines 45-48]. Applicant's Admitted Prior Art further teaches that each memory has a controller comprising a write state machine [paragraph 2].

13. As to claim 9, Ma discloses the pulse generator generates a plurality of pulses of current having a predetermined waveform [col. 5, lines 39-46]. Applicant's Admitted Prior Art further teaches that the waveform is associated with the operation of the memory, and has a large initial pulse of current followed by a subsequent plurality of smaller pulses of current [paragraph 2].

14. As to claim 10, Ma discloses the delay circuit delays the second pulse of current for at least as long as the duration of the first initial pulse of current [col. 6, lines 22-31].

15. As to claim 11, Ma discloses the second pulse of current occurs during a delay between the first initial pulse of current applied to the first write state machine and the plurality of subsequent pulses of current applied to the second write state machine [col. 6, lines 9-21].

16. As to claim 12, Ma discloses the second pulse of current occurs during the delay between a first plurality of subsequent pulses applied to the first state machine and the plurality of subsequent pulses of current applied to the second write state machine [col. 6, lines 9-21].

17. As to claim 13, Ma discloses the first pulse of current has an amplitude substantially equal to the amplitude of the second pulse of current [col. 6, lines 60-67, and col. 7, lines 1-19].

18. As to claim 14, Ma discloses the pulse generator generates a plurality of pulses of current having a predetermined waveform [col. 5, lines 39-46]. Applicant's Admitted Prior Art further teaches that the waveform is associated with the operation of the memory, and has a large initial pulse of current followed by a subsequent plurality of smaller pulses of current [paragraph 2]. Furthermore, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art describes that the waveform may be of any well known to one of ordinary skill in the art [paragraph 19], such as wherein the plurality of additional pulses of current have amplitudes that are less than or equal to half of the amplitude of the first pulse of current.

19. As to claim 15, Ma discloses a computer readable medium having instructions comprising: applying a first pulse of current to a first memory and a second pulse of current to a second memory [col. 2, lines 35-44]; and injecting a time delay between the first and second pulse of current [col. 2, lines 45-48]. Applicant's Admitted Prior Art further teaches that each memory has a controller comprising a write state machine [paragraph 2].

20. As to claim 16, Ma discloses the pulse generator generates a plurality of pulses of current having a predetermined waveform [col. 5, lines 39-46]. Applicant's Admitted Prior Art further teaches that the waveform is associated with the operation of the memory, and has a large initial pulse of current followed by a subsequent plurality of smaller pulses of current [paragraph 2].

21. As to claim 17, Ma discloses the delay circuit delays the second pulse of current for at least as long as the duration of the first initial pulse of current [col. 6, lines 22-31].

22. As to claim 18, Ma discloses the second pulse of current occurs during a delay between the first initial pulse of current applied to the first write state machine and the plurality of subsequent pulses of current applied to the second write state machine [col. 6, lines 9-21].

23. As to claim 19, Ma discloses the second pulse of current occurs during the delay between a first plurality of subsequent pulses applied to the first state machine and the plurality of subsequent pulses of current applied to the second write state machine [col. 6, lines 9-21].

24. As to claim 20, Ma discloses the first pulse of current has an amplitude substantially equal to the amplitude of the second pulse of current [col. 6, lines 60-67, and col. 7, lines 1-19].

25. As to claim 21, Ma discloses the pulse generator generates a plurality of pulses of current having a predetermined waveform [col. 5, lines 39-46]. Applicant's Admitted Prior Art further teaches that the waveform is associated with the operation of the memory, and has a large initial

pulse of current followed by a subsequent plurality of smaller pulses of current [paragraph 2]. Furthermore, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art describes that the waveform may be of any well known to one of ordinary skill in the art [paragraph 19], such as wherein the plurality of additional pulses of current have amplitudes that are less than or equal to half of the amplitude of the first pulse of current.

Conclusion

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3671. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James K. Trujillo
PATENT EXAMINER
TC 2100

May 4, 2006
ec

James K. Trujillo
JAMES K. TRUJILLO
PATENT EXAMINER
TC 2100